

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,202	03/26/2004	Robert B. Collier	01029.0010U1	2898
23506 GARDNER GI	7590 05/31/2007 ROFF SANTOS & GRE	EXAMINER		
	S FERRY ROAD	THOMAS, JAISON P		
ATLANTA, G	A 30339	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1751	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/811,202	COLLIER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jaison P. Thomas	1751		

		Jaison P. Thomas	1751	
The MAILING DATE	of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
		LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL		
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a fin this application, applicant m places the application in cor</li> </ol>	nal rejection, but prior to or on ust timely file one of the follow ndition for allowance; (2) a No	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af- tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expire b) The period for reply expire no event, however, will the Examiner Note: If box 1 is TWO MONTHS OF THE F	s on: (1) the mailing date of this A statutory period for reply expire la checked, check either box (a) or ( INAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
have been filed is the date for purpose under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated fro	es of determining the period of ext om: (1) the expiration date of the s reply received by the Office later	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was fi filing the Notice of Appeal (3	37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in 3	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
	(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues (b) ☐ They raise the issue of	s that would require further confinew matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
, . — , .	_	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in 5. Applicant's reply has over 6. Newly proposed or amende	ome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co		
	aims would be rejected is prov (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from co	nsideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDEN				
	provide a showing of good an	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida		
entered because the affiday showing a good and sufficient	rit or other evidence failed to o ent reasons why it is necessar	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a√ 1).
		n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERA  11. The request for reconsider  See Continuation Sheet.		it does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:
	tion Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. 🗌 Other:		•	Malk	_
			Mark Kopec Primary Examin	er

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendments to the claims "wherein the polyester is not derived from a polyoxyalkylene glycol" which are taken from the specification and which are not found in the originally filed claims would raise new issues of patentability requiring a new search.

Mark Kopec Primary Examiner